

American Foreign Policy Council

ISLAMIC RE- PUBLIC OF IRAN

QUICK FACTS

Population: 79,853,900

Area: 1,648,195 sq km

Ethnic Groups: Persian 61%, Azeri 16%, Kurd 10%, Arab 2%, Lur 6%, Baloch 2%, Turkmen and Turkic 2%, other 1%

Religions: Muslim 98% (Shi'a 89%, Sunni 9%), other (includes Zoroastrian, Jewish, Christian, and Baha'i) 2%

Government Type: Theocratic republic

GDP (official exchange rate): \$548.9 billion

Map and Quick Facts Courtesy of the CIA World Factbook (Last Updated August 2013)



Since its founding in February 1979, the Islamic Republic of Iran has consistently ranked as the world's "most active state sponsor of terrorism," according to estimates by the United States government. Iran's support for terrorism is both pervasive and ideological, encompassing a vast array of official and quasi-official institutions, individuals and policies. It finds its roots in the ideas of the Ayatollah Ruhollah Khomeini, the founder of the Islamic Revolution, who espoused the need to "export" Iran's successful religious revolution the world over. More than two decades after Khomeini's death, that priority continues to animate Iran's leaders and guide their sponsorship of instability, both in Iran's immediate geographic neighborhood and far beyond.

ISLAMIST ACTIVITY

The Iranian regime's support for international terrorism predates the establishment of the Islamic Republic itself. In the 1960s and 1970s, while in exile in Iraq and in France, the Ayatollah Ruhollah Khomeini formulated his ideas about the need for a radical Islamic transformation in his home country, Iran, and of subsequently "exporting" this system of government throughout the Middle East and beyond.¹ In keeping with this thinking, Khomeini's manifesto, *Islamic Government*, extolled the virtues of "a victorious and triumphant Islamic political revolution" that would go on "to unite the Moslem nation, [and] to liberate [all] its lands."²

When the Ayatollah and his followers swept to power in Tehran in the spring of 1979, this principle became a cardinal regime priority. The preamble of the country's formative constitution, adopted in October 1979, outlines that the country's military henceforth "be responsible not only for guarding and preserving the frontiers of the country, but also for fulfilling the ideological mission of jihad in God's way; that is, extending the sovereignty of God's law throughout the world."³ These words were backed by concrete regime action, with Khomeini consolidating the country's various radical militias into an ideological army known as the Islamic Revolutionary Guard Corps (IRGC, or *Pasdaran*), tasked with promoting his revolutionary message abroad, with violence if necessary.

The three-plus decades since have seen a consistent regime commitment to international terrorism. In the early years of the Islamic Republic, Iran is known to have ordered, orchestrated or facilitated a series of terrorist attacks in the Middle East, among them the 1983 U.S. Embassy and Marine Barracks bombings in Beirut, Lebanon; as well as abortive coup attempts and bombings in Bahrain, the United Arab Emirates and Kuwait.⁴ These activities, and the rationale behind them, were reinforced by the outcome of the country's bloody eight-year war with Iraq, which strengthened its belief that radical proxies could serve as an attractive, low-cost substitute for direct military action. As a result, the principle of "exporting the revolution" remained a vibrant element of regime policy following the death of Khomeini in 1989. In the decade that followed Khomeini's death, the Islamic Republic bankrolled assassinations and terrorist acts on foreign soil, aided the infiltration of countries in Europe, Africa and Latin America by radical Islamic groups, and assisted irregulars in international conflict zones.⁵

In the aftermath of the September 11, 2001 terrorist attacks, the Islamic Republic chose to dramatically strengthen its links to international terrorism, redoubling its support for Hezbollah in Lebanon and Palestinian rejectionist

groups, expanding its footprint in the Palestinian territories, maintaining at least low-level links to the al-Qaeda network, and becoming heavily involved in the bankrolling of radical militias (both Shi'ite and Sunni) in post-Saddam Iraq.

This support for terrorism, while ideologically driven, is rooted in pragmatism. While Khomeini's Islamic Revolution was a distinctly Shi'a one, in the more-than-three decades since its establishment, the Islamic Republic has embraced a more universalist conception of its international role, aspiring to serve as the vanguard of Islamic revolution worldwide.⁶ The Iranian regime today funds a broad range of both Sunni and Shi'a groups throughout the greater Middle East and beyond. The critical determinant appears to be the degree to which these movements and organizations can reinforce Iran's leading role in the "Shi'a revival" now taking place in the Muslim world, and their shared animosity toward the West, most directly Israel and the United States.

The scope of this investment is enormous. In the past, U.S. officials have estimated that the Islamic Republic boasts "a nine-digit line item in its budget for support to terrorist organizations."⁷ That figure is believed to have included more than \$200 million per year for its principal terrorist proxy, Hezbollah;⁸ \$20 million to \$30 million annually for Hamas, \$2 million a year for the Palestinian Islamic Jihad, and upward of \$30 million a year for Iraqi insurgents.⁹ These sums encompassed direct financial assistance, training, logistics, arms and political support.

It is not clear, as of this writing, what concrete impact the escalating campaign of U.S. and allied economic pressure on Iran over its nuclear program has had on the scale of Iran's support for terrorist activity. However, given evidence of the overall deteriorating state of the Iranian economy, at least some attrition can reasonably be expected. More pronounced has been the impact of the "Arab Spring," and Iran's continued sponsorship of the Syrian regime, which has resulted in a reconfiguration of at least some of Iran's strategic alliances. Most directly, relations between Iran and the Palestinian Hamas movement have worsened dramatically (although the two now appear to be trying to mend their ties.)¹⁰ As a result, Iran has shifted its backing to the more-subservient Palestinian Islamic Jihad as its proxy of choice in the Palestinian Territories.¹¹

The scope of Iran's support of violent Islamism is global in nature, and so is its reach. In the decade that followed the 9/11 attacks, it encompassed: ongoing support for Hezbollah in Lebanon and a reconstitution of the Shi'ite militia's strategic capabilities;¹² extensive involvement in post-Saddam Iraq,

first through the provision of arms and materiel to the country's various Shi'a militias and later through political and strategic support of various forces both inside and outside of the government of Iraqi Prime Minister Nouri al-Maliki;¹³ the provision of significant military and operational assistance to the insurgency in Afghanistan, increasing the lethality of forces arrayed against the government of President Hamid Karzai and Coalition authorities there;¹⁴ exerting influence in the Palestinian arena through financial aid and support to Palestinian rejectionist groups, chief among them Hamas and the Palestinian Islamic Jihad¹⁵ and; bankrolling terrorist and subversive activities in various countries, including Egypt.¹⁶

The onset of the Arab Spring in early 2011 marked a turning point for Iranian activities—and for its regional standing. In the early stages of the Spring, Iranian officials sought to take credit for the anti-regime sentiment sweeping the region, depicting it as the belated product of the Ayatollah Khomeini's successful Islamic revolution in 1979 and heralding an "Islamic awakening" in which Iran would inevitably play a leading role.¹⁷ Iran's stance was not simply rhetorical; the Islamic Republic became a political supporter of various regional insurgent causes, from protests by Bahrain's majority-Shi'ite population against the country's ruling al-Khalifa family¹⁸ to the struggle by Yemen's al-Houthi rebellion against the central government in Sana'a.¹⁹ Iran's most conspicuous initiative, however, was to assume the role of a lifeline for the regime of Syrian dictator Bashar al-Assad. Shortly after the eruption of anti-regime unrest in Syria in March 2011, Iran took on a major role in bolstering and strengthening Assad's hold on power. It did so through financial assistance,²⁰ as well as the provision of forces to augment Syria's military in its fight against the country's disparate opposition elements.²¹

This involvement has come at a high cost for Tehran. As a result of its backing for the Assad regime, Iran has experienced a collapse in its standing in the Muslim and Arab worlds over the past two years. A March 2012 poll carried out by Zogby Research Services, for example, charted a precipitous decline in Iran's favorability rating throughout the Middle East, and a wholesale discrediting of the idea that Iran can serve as a "model" for the Arab Spring countries.²² The situation remains the same today, with the Islamic Republic viewed by Middle Eastern audiences as a guardian and stalwart of the old, authoritarian regional order.²³

As Iran's regional standing has deteriorated, its support of instability abroad has accelerated. The U.S. State Department's most recent survey of global terrorism assessed that in 2012 Iran "increased its terrorist-related activity, including attacks or attempted attacks in India, Thailand, Georgia, and Kenya," with the Iranian Revolutionary Guards Qods Force (IRGC-QF), the

regime's elite paramilitary unit, serving as "the regime's primary mechanism for cultivating and supporting terrorists abroad."²⁴

ISLAMISM AND SOCIETY

While "exporting the revolution" was and remains a persistent regime objective, involvement and investment on the part of the Iranian population in this pursuit is far from universal. There is little empirical data to suggest that ordinary Iranians share the depth of their regime's commitment to the exportation of radical Islam. To the contrary, terrorism funding in Iran remains an elite—rather than popular—undertaking, directed through state institutions rather than non-governmental organizations, and overseen at an official, not a grassroots, level.

At times, Iran's involvement in the support of radical groups abroad has served as a significant bone of contention between the Iranian regime and its population. In the wake of Hezbollah's summer 2006 war with Israel, for example, Iran's extensive financial support for Lebanon's Shi'ites became a domestic flashpoint, with ordinary Iranians publicly questioning—and condemning—their government's skewed strategic priorities.²⁵ More recently, anecdotal evidence suggests that the Iranian regime's persistent support of the regime of Bashar al-Assad in Damascus has generated at least some domestic outrage.

Nevertheless, certain issues remain popular rallying points for the regime, chief among them the Israeli-Palestinian conflict. A June 2007 poll carried out by Terror Free Tomorrow (the most recent such survey of popular opinion on the subject) found that nearly two-thirds of Iranians surveyed support financial assistance to Hamas and the Palestinian Islamic Jihad, although a far smaller number deemed this to be an important regime priority.²⁶ In the same survey, Hezbollah received an equal level of identification on the Iranian "street," as did Shi'a militias in Iraq.²⁷ This support, however, appears to be more rhetorical than operational, with little or no direct involvement of ordinary Iranian citizens in either the financing or operations of these groups, or of the governmental agencies that aid and abet them.

Support for radical Islamic causes is eroded by Iran's complex ethno/religious composition. Although the country is overwhelmingly (98 percent) Muslim and predominantly (89 percent) Shi'a, ethnic Persians hold only a modest majority (61 percent) in Iran's population of almost 80 million.

The remainder is Azeri (16 percent), Kurdish (10 percent), Baloch (2 percent), Arab (2 percent), and a range of other minorities,²⁸ many of which are systematically discriminated against by the Islamic Republic and feel little or limited allegiance to it. The base of support for Islamic radicalism—and other governmental priorities—in Iranian society is further weakened by the regime’s persecution of religious minorities, which, according to the U.S. State Department, has created “a threatening atmosphere for nearly all non-Shi’a religious groups” in the Islamic Republic.²⁹

Social and economic malaise has similarly served to dilute identification with regime ideals and principles. The Islamic Republic was severely impacted by the 2008-2009 global economic crisis, and thereafter was burdened by unsustainable federal spending and ruinous fiscal policies adopted by the government of President Mahmoud Ahmadinejad. These trends—coupled with growing pressure applied by the West over the Islamic Republic’s nuclear program—resulted in worsening economic conditions (such as rising inflation and unemployment) as well as a variety of social ills, from widespread drug addiction to rampant prostitution to high levels of poverty.³⁰

Discontent over the country’s political direction and socio-economic conditions found its expression in dramatic fashion in the summer of 2009, in the largest episode of unrest in the Islamic Republic’s thirty-year history. The mass protests were catalyzed by the appearance of blatant institutional fraud in the re-election of Mahmoud Ahmadinejad in the country’s June 2009 presidential election. In the weeks after the election, opposition to the Iranian regime gathered momentum, growing to encompass significant cracks in the previously-sound ideological consensus among Iran’s clerical elites regarding the institutions and policies of Khomeini’s Islamic Republic.

The Iranian regime responded with a major campaign to dominate the domestic media, intimidate regime opponents, and purge ideological dissent. These efforts included: tightening of already-strict controls over the Internet;³¹ targeting of opposition leaders, both secular and religious;³² and intimidation of Iranian opposition activists living abroad.³³ This crackdown, and the lack of action by the West to support democratic forces within the Islamic Republic, resulted in the marginalization of Iran’s opposition forces. Despite sporadic signs of life,³⁴ the Green Movement has become a marginal actor, largely irrelevant in Iranian politics. This was confirmed in the run-up to the country’s June 2013 presidential election; pro-democracy activists remained largely dormant until just days before the June 14th poll. When they did finally emerge, it was not in the form of a political game-changer, but as a bit player, focusing their internal deliberations on whether or not to boycott the

election entirely.

ISLAMISM AND THE STATE

Iran's support for Islamism is channeled through an elaborate infrastructure of institutions and governmental bodies tasked with the promotion of radical Islamic thought and action. These include:

The Islamic Revolutionary Guard Corps (IRGC, or Pasdaran). At home, the *Pasdaran*, in addition to its professional military duties, has become the guardian of the regime's ballistic missile and weapons of mass destruction programs.³⁵ The agenda of Iran's ideological army, however, is global in scope, and so is its reach. Over the past three decades, the *Pasdaran* has emerged as the shock troops of Iran's Islamic Revolution, training terrorist organizations both within Iran and in specialized training camps in places like Lebanon and Sudan, as well as providing assistance to radical movements and terrorist proxies throughout the Middle East, Africa, Europe and Asia via specialized paramilitary units.³⁶ The most notorious of these is the Qods Force, a crack military battalion formed in 1990 and dedicated to carrying out "extra-regional operations of the Islamic Revolutionary Guard Corps"—namely, terrorism and insurgency in the name of the Islamic Republic.³⁷ Since the 2003 ouster of Saddam Hussein, this unit has played a leading role in Iraq as part of what analysts have characterized as an "open-ended, resilient, and well-funded" covert effort on the part of the Iranian regime to extend its influence into the former Ba'athist state.³⁸ The *Pasdaran* also boasts a dedicated intelligence service, the Protection and Intelligence Department, or *Hefazat va Ettelaat-e Sepah-e Pasdaran*. Founded in 1980, it encompasses three main functions: intelligence in support of *Pasdaran* military operations; political operations at home and abroad; and support to the foreign terrorist operations of the Qods Force.³⁹

Ministry of Intelligence and Security (MOIS). Controlled directly by Supreme Leader Ali Khamenei, the MOIS is used by Iran's ruling clergy to quash domestic opposition and carry out espionage against suspect members of the Iranian government.⁴⁰ Abroad, the MOIS plays a key role in planning and carrying out terrorist operations on foreign soil, using Iranian embassies and diplomatic missions as cover.⁴¹ MOIS operatives are also known to operate abroad under unofficial identities—for example, as employees of Iran Air, Iran's official airline.⁴² The MOIS conducts a variety of activities in support of the operations of Tehran's terrorist surrogates, ranging from financing

actual operations to intelligence collection on potential targets. The Ministry also carries out independent operations, primarily against dissidents of the current regime in Tehran living in foreign countries, at the direction of senior Iranian officials.⁴³

Ministry of Foreign Affairs. Iran's Foreign Ministry serves as an important enabler of the Iranian regime's international terrorist presence. Agents of the *Pasdaran* and MOIS often operate out of Iranian missions abroad, where they are stationed under diplomatic cover, complete with blanket diplomatic immunity. These agents—and through them Iranian foreign proxies—use the Ministry's auspices to untraceably obtain financing, weapons and intelligence from Tehran (for example, via diplomatic pouch).⁴⁴

Cultural Affairs Ministry. Supplementing the role of the Foreign Affairs Ministry in exporting terrorism is Iran's Ministry of Culture and Guidance. Tasked with overseeing the cultural sections of Iranian foreign missions, as well as free-standing Iranian cultural centers, it facilitates *Pasdaran* infiltration of—and terrorist recruitment within—local Muslim populations in foreign nations.⁴⁵ The Ministry is particularly influential among majority Muslim countries like the former Soviet Republics, many of which share substantial cultural, religious and ideological bonds with Tehran. Between 1982 and 1992, the official in charge of the Ministry—and of its role in support of Iranian terror abroad—was Mohammed Khatami, Iran's future “reformist” president.

Basij. Formed during the early days of the Islamic Republic and trained by the *Pasdaran*, this militia represents the Iranian regime's premier tool of domestic terror. During the eight years of the Iran-Iraq war, the organization's cadres were the Islamic Republic's cannon fodder, selected to clear minefields and launch “human wave” attacks against Iraqi forces.⁴⁶ With the end of the conflict with Iraq, the role of the *Basij* was reoriented, and the organization became the watchdog of Iranian society. Today, it is used by the ayatollahs to quell domestic anti-regime protests and eradicate “un-Islamic” behavior. Their role ranges from enforcing modest dress to gathering intelligence on university students, which is handed over to the regime's undercover police.⁴⁷ There are reported to be as many as 10 million registered *Basij* members, though not all are on active service.⁴⁸ The *Basij* also plays an important supporting role in Iran's state sponsorship of terror. It is known to be active in training anti-Israeli forces, including carrying out maneuvers designed to ready Hezbollah and assorted Palestinian militants for guerrilla warfare. One such exercise, in the fall of 2000, reportedly involved some 90,000 men and 20,000 women.⁴⁹

Domestic paramilitaries (gurub-I fishar). Supplementing the role of the *Basij* are the numerous vigilante or “pressure” groups that are harnessed by the Iranian government. Though officially independent, these gangs actually operate under the patronage of government officials, the *Pasdaran* or the MOIS, and target internal opposition to the clerical regime.⁵⁰ The most famous is the *Ansar-i Hezbollah*, which was responsible for fomenting the July 1999 crisis at Tehran University that led to the bloody governmental crackdown on student opposition forces.

Bonyads. These sprawling socio-religious foundations, which are overseen only by Iran’s Supreme Leader, serve as conduits for the Islamic Republic’s cause of choice. Arguably the most important is the *Bonyad-e Mostazafan* (Foundation of the Oppressed), a sprawling network of an estimated 1,200 firms created in 1979 with seed money from the Shah’s coffers.⁵¹ Another is the *Bonyad-e Shabid* (Martyrs’ Foundation), an enormous conglomerate of industrial, agricultural, construction and commercial companies with some 350 offices and tens of thousands of employees.⁵² The sums controlled by these organs are enormous: more than 30 percent of Iran’s national GDP, and as much as two-thirds of the country’s non-oil GDP.⁵³ And while many of their functions are legitimate, they are also used by Iran’s religious leaders to funnel money to their pet causes, from financing domestic repression to arming radical groups abroad.

Notably, however, even as Iran remains complicit in the sponsorship of international terrorism, it is itself the target of violent activity by two separate and distinct groups. The first is the *Mujahideen e-Khalq* (MeK or MKO), also known as the People’s Mujahideen Organization of Iran, or PMOI. The MeK is the most prominent and well-organized opposition group to the ruling Iranian government in existence today.⁵⁴ A guerrilla group of radical Marxist-Islamist ideology, the MeK was established in the 1960s in opposition to the government of Shah Mohammed Reza Pahlavi.⁵⁵ Following the overthrow of the Shah, however, the MeK found itself shut out of the Iranian regime’s power structures. By the early 1980s, the organization moved into opposition, and became an active target of the Iranian security forces. As a result, it relocated to neighboring Iraq, which subsequently became its principal source of financial and political support, as well as the organization’s major base of operations in its periodic attacks against the Iranian regime.⁵⁶ According to the U.S. Department of State, the MeK also assumed a domestic role, assisting the Iraqi government in “suppressing the Shia and Kurdish uprisings in northern and southern Iraq” in 1991 and thereafter playing a part in Iraq’s internal security services.⁵⁷ In exchange, the regime of Saddam Hussein became the source of all of the MeK’s military assistance, and the bulk of its

economic revenue—a situation that would endure until the overthrow of the Iraqi regime by Coalition forces in the spring of 2003.⁵⁸ During the 1990s, this support was estimated to be some \$7 million monthly.⁵⁹ The extent of this support was made public in January 2004, when the Iraqi daily *Al-Mada* published a list of 270 beneficiaries of oil allocations from the regime of Saddam Hussein.⁶⁰ That list revealed that the MeK had been a major recipient of oil vouchers from the Iraqi government.⁶¹ All told, the MeK is believed to have received more than 38 million barrels of oil from the Iraqi government in the four years before the U.S.-led invasion of Iraq—theoretically generating profits of more than \$16 million.⁶²

The organization maintains a sizeable base of supporters and members in Europe, most directly in France, where the organization's political head, Maryam Rajavi, is believed to reside. Members of the MeK are also resident in Iraq, where several thousand have been held for years at Camp Ashraf in Iraq's Diyala province pursuant to a 2004 grant of "protected persons" status by the U.S. military⁶³ and a subsequent arrangement struck between the U.S.-led Coalition and the government of Iraqi Prime Minister Nouri al-Maliki. The MeK has fared better politically of late in the U.S.; in the fall of 2012, then-Secretary of State Hillary Clinton formally removed the MeK from the U.S. government's FTO list, reversing the designation made by President Bill Clinton in 1997.⁶⁴ To date, however, the group does not appear to have managed to translate this rehabilitation into broader support from policymakers in Washington.

The second, smaller group is the Free Life Party of Kurdistan, or PJAK. Led by Iranian-born German national Abdul Rahman Haji Ahmadi, PJAK is a violent Kurdish nationalist group that has carried out attacks on Iran from strongholds in neighboring Iraq since its formation in 2004. PJAK, which maintains an affiliation with Turkey's larger Kurdistan Workers Party (PKK), claims to seek "democratic change" and characterizes its actions as a "defense" against Iranian state repression of its Kurdish minority.⁶⁵

The Iranian regime has sought to actively combat both of these entities. Regime security forces clashed repeatedly with members of PJAK between 2008 and 2011, successfully arresting and killing numerous group members as part of ongoing counterterrorism operations.⁶⁶ A major counterterrorism campaign against the group by Iranian security forces followed in the fall of 2011, culminating in a ceasefire between the two parties.⁶⁷ This ceasefire has held since, albeit tenuously. However, it is not clear that it will do so indefinitely, given the growing activism now being demonstrated by various regional Kurdish factions, and the possibility that this could rekindle violent

ethnic separatism directed at the Iranian state.

By contrast, Iran continues to actively persecute the MeK and individuals thought to be affiliated with it, including through the arrest and detention of family members of those resident in Camp Ashraf.⁶⁸ With the withdrawal of U.S. forces from Iraq at the end of 2012, this pressure has increased; MeK members report more and more inhospitable treatment from Baghdad, which appears to be bowing to pressure from Tehran to turn out the group and its members.⁶⁹ To date, however, it is not clear whether this pressure on the part of the Iranian regime will be successful in securing the MeK's lasting ouster from Iraq.

ENDNOTES

- [1] Emmanuel Sivan, *Radical Islam: Medieval Theology and Modern Politics* (New Haven: Yale University Press, 1985), 188–207.
- [2] Ruhollah Khomeini, *Islamic Government* (New York: Manor Books, 1979).
- [3] Preamble of the Constitution of the Islamic Republic of Iran, http://www.oefre.unibe.ch/law/icl/ir00000_.html.
- [4] Robin Wright, *Sacred Rage: The Wrath of Militant Islam* (New York: Simon & Schuster, 1986), 111–21.
- [5] “Iranian Terrorism in Bosnia and Croatia,” *Iran Brief*, March 3, 1997, <http://www.lexis-nexis.com>; Mike O’Connor, “Spies for Iranians Are Said to Gain a Hold in Bosnia,” *New York Times*, November 28, 1997, 1.
- [6] Vali Nasr, *The Shi’a Revival: How Conflicts within Islam will Shape the Future* (New York: W.W. Norton & Company, 2006), 137.
- [7] Under Secretary of the Treasury for Terrorism and Financial Intelligence Stuart Levey, Remarks before the 5th Annual Conference on Trade, Treasury, and Cash Management in the Middle East, Abu Dhabi, United Arab Emirates, March 7, 2007, http://uae.usembassy.gov/remarks_of_stuart_levey_.html.
- [8] “Chapter 3: State Sponsors of Terrorism” in U.S. Department of State, Office of the Coordinator for Counterterrorism, *Country Reports on Terrorism 2008* (Washington, DC: U.S. Department of State, April 30, 2009), <http://www.state.gov/s/ct/rls/crt/2008/122436.htm>.
- [9] Rachel Ehrenfeld, *Funding Evil: How Terrorism Is Financed—And How To Stop It* (Chicago: Basic Books, 2003), 125; “ Hamas,” Council on Foreign Relations *Backgrounder*, June 8, 2007, <http://www.cfr.org/publication/8968/>; Program on Humanitarian Policy and Conflict Research, Harvard University, “Palestinian Islamic Jihad of Islamic Jihad Movement in Palestine (PIJ) (Harakat al-Jihad al-Islami fi Filastin),” n.d., <http://www.armed-groups.org/6/section.aspx/ViewGroup?id=69>; Joshua Partlow, “U.S.: Iran, Hezbollah Training Iraqi Militants,” *Washington Post*, July 2, 2007, A08; “Chapter 3: State Sponsors of Terrorism” in U.S. Department of State, *Country Reports on Terrorism 2008*.
- [10] Robert Tait, “ Hamas and Iran in Talks to Repair Ties,” *The Independent* (London), August 1, 2013, <http://www.telegraph.co.uk/news/worldnews/middleeast/iran/10216793/Hamas-and-Iran-in-talks-to-repair-ties.html>.
- [11] Fares Akram, “In Gaza, Iran Finds an Ally More Agreeable Than Hamas,” *New York Times*, July 31, 2013, <http://www.nytimes.com/2013/08/01/world/middleeast/in-gaza-iran-finds-a-closer-ally-than-hamas.html>.
- [12] “Hezbollah has 50,000 Rockets: Report,” Agence France Presse,

December 7, 2010, http://www.spacewar.com/reports/Hezbollah_has_50000_rockets_report_999.html.

[13] Joseph Felter and Brian Fishman, "Iranian Strategy in Iraq: Politics and 'Other Means,'" Combating Terrorism Center at West Point *Occasional Paper*, October 13, 2008, http://ctc.usma.edu/Iran_Iraq/CTC_Iran_Iraq_Final.pdf; Ned Parker, "Ten Years After Iraq War Began, Iran Reaps the Gains," *Los Angeles Times*, March 28, 2013, <http://articles.latimes.com/2013/mar/28/world/la-fg-iraq-iran-influence-20130329>.

[14] "Chapter 3. State Sponsors of Terrorism," in *Country Reports on Terrorism 2012* (Washington, DC: U.S. Department of State, May 2013), <http://www.state.gov/j/ct/rls/crt/2012/209985.htm>.

[15] *Ibid.*

[16] The historically tense relations between Iran and Egypt deteriorated precipitously during 2008-2010, spurred in large part by Egyptian fears of Iranian internal meddling. These worries were showcased in spring of 2009, when Egyptian authorities arrested a total of twenty-six individuals suspected of carrying out espionage for Hezbollah, and of plotting to carry out terrorist attacks within Egypt. The suspects were subsequently formally charged with plotting subversion against the Egyptian state. "Egypt Charges 26 'Hizbullah Spies,'" *Jerusalem Post*, July 26, 2009, <http://www.jpost.com/servlet/Satellite-cid=1248277893866&pagename=JPost%2FJPArticle%2FShowFull>.

[17] See, for example, "Lawmaker: Uprisings in Region Promising Birth of Islamic Middle-East," Fars News Agency (Tehran), February 5, 2011, <http://english.farsnews.com/newstext.php?nn=8911161168>.

[18] <http://www.dw.de/irans-support-for-bahrain-protesters-fuels-regional-tensions/a-6504403-1>.

[19] See, for example, Eric Schmitt, and Robert F. Worth. "With Arms for Yemen Rebels, Iran Seeks Wider Mideast Role," *New York Times*, March 15, 2012, <http://www.nytimes.com/2012/03/15/world/middleeast/aiding-yemen-rebels-iran-seeks-wider-mideast-role.html>.

[20] See, for example, "Assad Regime Receives Iranian, Not Iraqi Financial Aid," *Al-Monitor*, December 2012, <http://www.al-monitor.com/pulse/ar/contents/articles/politics/2012/01/assad-regime-receives-iranian-no.html>.

[21] Roi Kais, "Rebels: Iranian Combatants in Syria to Aid Assad," *Yediot Ahronot* (Tel Aviv), August 4, 2012, <http://www.ynetnews.com/articles/0,7340,L-4264219,00.html>; Robert Fisk, "Iran to Send 4,000 Troops to Aid President Assad Forces in Syria," *The Independent* (London), June 16, 2013, <http://www.independent.co.uk/news/world/middle-east/iran-to-send-4000-troops-to-aid-president-assad-forces-in-syria-8660358.html>.

[22] *Looking at Iran: How 20 Arab and Muslim Nations View Iran and Its Policies* (Zogby, 2012), <http://b.3cdn.net/aai/>

e0d4a9526e99a0a082_0zm6be3h4.pdf.

^[23] See Pew Research Center Global Attitudes Project, “Global Views of Iran Overwhelmingly Negative,” June 11, 2013, <http://www.pew-global.org/2013/06/11/global-views-of-iran-overwhelmingly-negative/>.

^[24] “Chapter 3. State Sponsors of Terrorism,” in *Country Reports on Terrorism 2012*.

^[25] See, for example, Azadeh Moaveni, “The Backlash against Iran’s Role in Lebanon,” *Time*, August 31, 2006, <http://www.time.com/time/world/article/0,8599,1515755,00.html>.

^[26] Terror Free Tomorrow, “Polling Iranian Public Opinion: An Unprecedented Nationwide Survey of Iran,” July 2007, <http://www.terrorfreetomorrow.org/upimagesft/TFT%20Iran%20Survey%20Report.pdf>.

^[27] *Ibid.*

^[28] U.S. Central Intelligence Agency, “Iran,” *World Factbook*, August 13, 2013, <https://www.cia.gov/library/publications/the-world-factbook/geos/ir.html>.

^[29] U.S. Department of State, Bureau of Democracy, Human Rights and Labor, *International Religious Freedom Report 2008*, n.d., <http://www.state.gov/g/drl/rls/irf/2008/108482.htm>.

^[30] Karl Vick, “Opiates of the Iranian People,” *Washington Post*, September 23, 2005, A01; “US to Reverse Afghan Opium Strategy,” *Al-Jazeera* (Doha), June 28, 2009, <http://english.aljazeera.net/news/asia/2009/06/200962815525664398.html>; Nazila Fathi, “To Regulate Prostitution, Iran Ponders Brothels,” *New York Times*, August 28, 2002, <http://query.nytimes.com/gst/fullpage.html?res=9404E0DE1F3CF93BA1575BC0A9649C8B63>; United Nations Children’s Fund, “At a Glance: Iran, Islamic Republic Of,” n.d., <http://www.unicef.org/infobycountry/iran.html>.

^[31] Iran to Monitor Cyberspace to Fight Offenses,” *Press TV* (Tehran), July 20, 2009, <http://edition.presstv.ir/detail/101138.html>.

^[32] See, for example, Human Rights Watch, “Iran: Stop ‘Framing’ Government Critics,” July 21, 2009, <http://www.hrw.org/en/news/2009/07/21/iran-stop-framing-government-critics>.

^[33] Farnaz Fassihi, “Iran’s Crackdown Goes Global,” *Wall Street Journal*, December 3, 2009, <http://online.wsj.com/article/SB125978649644673331.html>.

^[34] In the summer of 2011, for example, Iran’s opposition crafted a “green manifesto” intended to articulate organizing principles around which the country’s various anti-regime elements could coalesce. See, for example, Genieve Abdo, “Iran’s Opposition Launches New Manifesto,” *Al-Jazeera* (Doha), July 22, 2011, <http://www.aljazeera.com/indepth/opinion/2011/07/2011722104456488653.html>. However, this initiative failed to generate new momentum for—or greater

coherence in—the Green Movement.

[35] Mohammad Mohaddessin, *Islamic Fundamentalism: The New Global Threat* (Washington: Seven Locks Press, 1993), 132-136.

[36] See, for example, Michael Eisenstadt, *Iranian Military Power: Capabilities and Intentions* (Washington: Washington Institute for Near East Policy, 1996), 70-72.

[37] Mohaddessin, *Islamic Fundamentalism*, 102.

[38] Michael Knights, "Iran's Ongoing Proxy War in Iraq," Washington Institute for Near East Policy *Policywatch* 1492, March 16, 2009, <http://www.washingtoninstitute.org/templateC05.php?CID=3029>.

[39] "Rev. Guards Intelligence," *Iran Brief*, January 6, 1997, <http://www.lexis-nexis.com>.

[40] "Ministry of Intelligence and Security [MOIS]: Vezeerat-e Ettela'at va Amniat-e Keshvar VEVAK," [globalsecurity.org](http://www.globalsecurity.org/intell/world/iran/vevak.htm), February 19, 2006, <http://www.globalsecurity.org/intell/world/iran/vevak.htm>.

[41] Eisenstadt, *Iranian Military Power*, 70.

[42] Federation of American Scientists, "Ministry of Intelligence and Security [MOIS]: Organization," <http://www.fas.org/irp/world/iran/vevak/org.htm>.

[43] See, for example, "Khamene'i Ordered Khobar Towers Bombing, Defector Says," *Iran Brief*, August 3, 1998, <http://www.lexis-nexis.com>; American intelligence officials have long maintained that Iranian terrorism is authorized at the highest official levels. See, for example, CIA Director R. James Woolsey, "Challenges to Peace in the Middle East," remarks before the Washington Institute for Near East Policy's Wye Plantation Conference, Queenstown, Maryland, September 23, 1994, <http://www.washingtoninstitute.org/templateC07.php?CID=66>.

[44] Eisenstadt, *Iranian Military Power*, 71.

[45] *Ibid.*; Mohaddessin, *Islamic Fundamentalism*, 101-102.

[46] Drew Middleton, "5 Years of Iran-Iraq War: Toll May Be Near a Million," *New York Times*, September 23, 1985, 4.

[47] Geneive Abdo, "Islam's Warriors Scent Blood," *Observer* (London), July 18, 1999, 26.

[48] Angus McDowall, "Tehran Deploys Islamic Vigilantes to Attack Protesters," *Independent* (London), July 11, 2003, 12.

[49] "Iran Trains Palestinians, Hizbullah in Military Drills," *Jerusalem Post*, October 20, 2000, 6A.

[50] For more on the *guruh-i fishar*, see Michael Rubin, *Into the Shadows: Radical Vigilantes in Khatami's Iran* (Washington: Washington Institute for Near East Policy, 2001).

[51] Robert D. Kaplan, "A Bazaar's World," *Atlantic Monthly* 277, iss. 3 (1996), 28.

[52] Wilfried Buchta, *Who Rules Iran? The Structure of Power in the Islamic Republic* (Washington: Washington Institute for Near East Pol-

icy – Konrad Adenauer Stiftung, 2000), 75.

[53] Ibid.; See also Kenneth Katzman, Statement before the Joint Economic Committee of the United States Congress, July 25, 2006.

[54] Since October 1997, pursuant to the *Anti-Terrorism and Effective Death Penalty Act of 1996*, it has also been a designated Foreign Terrorist Organization under U.S. law.

[55] International Policy Institute for Counterterrorism, “Mujahedin-e Khalq Organization (MEK or MKO),” no date given. Available online at <http://www.ict.org.il/>.

[56] United States Department of State, Office of the Coordinator for Counterterrorism, *Patterns of Global Terrorism 2003* (Washington, DC: U.S. Department of State, June 2004), 129.

[57] United States Department of State, Office of the Coordinator for Counterterrorism, *Patterns of Global Terrorism 2001* (Washington, DC: U.S. Department of State, May 2002), 101. The MeK has publicly denied these charges.

[58] U.S. Department of State, Office of the Coordinator for Counterterrorism, *Country Reports on Terrorism 2004* (Washington, DC: U.S. Department of State, April 2005), 105.

[59] Cited in Charles Recknagel, “Iran: Washington Says Iranian Opposition Helping with Iraqi Security Operations,” *Radio Free Europe/Radio Liberty*, May 23, 2002, <http://www.rferl.org/features/2002/05/23052002084552.asp>.

[60] *Al-Mada* (Baghdad), as cited in “The Beneficiaries of Saddam’s Oil Vouchers: The List of 270,” Middle East Media Research Institute *Inquiry and Analysis no. 160*, January 29, 2004 <http://memri.org/bin/articles.cgi?Page=countries&Area=iraq&ID=IA16004>.

[61] Ibid.

[62] Ibidem.

[63] Scott Peterson, “Why the US Granted ‘Protected’ Status to Iranian Terrorists,” *Christian Science Monitor*, July 29, 2004, 7.

[64] Scott Shane, “Iranian Dissidents Convince U.S. to Drop Terror Label,” *New York Times*, September 21, 2012, <http://www.nytimes.com/2012/09/22/world/middleeast/iranian-opposition-group-mek-wins-removal-from-us-terrorist-list.html?pagewanted=all>.

[65] “Tehran Faces Growing Kurdish Opposition,” *Washington Times*, April 3, 2006, <http://www.washingtontimes.com/news/2006/apr/3/20060403-125601-8453r/>.

[66] See, for example, “4 Members of PJAK Terrorist Group Arrested in Iran,” Fars News Agency (Tehran), November 30, 2010, <http://english.farsnews.com/newstext.php?nn=8909091200>; See also “Iranian Troops Attack Kurdish PJAK Rebel Bases in Iraq,” BBC (London), July 18, 2011, <http://www.bbc.co.uk/news/world-middle-east-14189313>.

[67] “Iran Deploying Troops, Tanks to Kurdistan Border,” World Tribune, July 19, 2013, <http://www.worldtribune.com/2013/07/19/iran-deploying-troops-tanks-to-kurdistan-border/>.

[68] Amnesty International, “Iran: Arbitrary Arrests, Torture and Executions Continue,” May 20, 2011, <http://www.amnesty.org/en/library/asset/MDE13/051/2011/en/ad9b1ffd-7c9f-475c-9acc1e712a29f60/mde130512011en.html>.

[69] Adam Schreck, “Iraq Denies Halting Services to Iranian Exile Camp,” Associated Press, August 25, 2013, <http://abcnews.go.com/International/wireStory/iraq-denies-halting-services-iranian-exile-camp-20060077>.